08-01789-cgm Doc 6537-1 Filed 05/06/14 Entered 05/06/14 18:09:58 Exhibit [Proposed] order to show cause why subpoenas issued by plaintiff should Pg 1 of 6

STIM & WARMUTH, P.C.

Farmingville, NY 11738

2 Eighth Street

Telephone: 631-732-2000 Facsimile: 631-732-2662 Paula J. Warmuth Glenn P. Warmuth Attorneys for Defendant UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ----x SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION Adv. Proc. No. CORPORATION, 08-01789 (SMB) Plaintiff-Applicant, SIPA LIQUIDATION (Substantively ν. Consolidated) BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC. Defendant. ----x In Re: BERNARD L. MADOFF, Debtor. ----X IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Adv. Proc. No. Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff 10-04947 (SMB) Investment Securities LLC, Plaintiff, v. MARJORIE MOST, Defendant. [PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SUBPOENAS ISSUED BY PLAINTIFF SHOULD NOT BE QUASHED AND PROTECTIVE ORDER SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED most-134

UPON REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION of the declaration in support of Paula J. Warmuth dated May 6, 2014 with exhibits annexed, the declaration of good and sufficient reasons of Paula J. Warmuth dated May 6, 2014 with exhibit annexed, the certification of good faith attempt to confer of Paula J. Warmuth dated May 6, 2014 with exhibits annexed, and the memorandum of law in support, all submitted in support of the application for an order to show cause for the following relief:

- 1) for the issuance and entry of an order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(3)(A)(iii) and 26(c)(1)(D) and Bankruptcy Rule guashing the following 9016(3)(A)(iii) and 7026(c)(1)(D) subpoenas issued by counsel to Irving H. Picard, as trustee for the substantively consolidated liquidation of the business of Investment Securities LLC under the Bernard L. Madoff Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78111 et seq and the estate of Bernard L. Madoff, individually:
 - Subpoena issued by counsel for plaintiff to HSBC Bank USA, N.A., c/o David E. Brodsky, James Corsiglia & Marla Decker, Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP, One produce documents, NY 10006 to Liberty Plaza, NY, to permit inspection objects orinformation, or premises in a bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding) regarding Marjorie Most's accounts.
 - b. Subpoena issued by counsel for plaintiff to Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC, c/o Beverly Jo Slaughter, Esq.,

Managing Counsel, Wells Fargo Law Department, One North Jefferson Avenue, MAC H0004-101, St. Louis, MO 63103 to produce documents, information, or objects or to permit inspection of premises in a bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding) regarding Marjorie Most's accounts.

c. Subpoena issued by counsel for plaintiff to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., c/o Beverly Jo Slaughter, Esq., Managing Counsel, Wells Fargo Law Department, One North Jefferson Avenue, MAC H0004-101, St. Louis, MO 63103 to produce documents, information, or objects or to permit inspection of premises in a bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding) regarding Marjorie Most's accounts.

because the documentation and information demanded is not relevant, is outside the scope of the Order Establishing Litigation Case Management Procedures for Avoidance Procedures dated November 10, 2010, Exhibit A, The Avoidance Procedures, para. (4)(G), is outside of the scope of the two year period of the alleged claim, it subjects the defendant to annoyance, embarrassment, oppression and is overbroad, and it violates the defendant's constitutional right of privacy, and

- 2) for the issuance and entry of a protective order for the same reasons prohibiting plaintiff from inquiry into defendant's and defendant's spouse's banking/brokerage records, and
- 3) for such other and further relief as to the Court is just and proper.

It is now hereby:

ORDERED that the plaintiff show cause before the Hon. Stuart M. Bernstein, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, NY 10004-1408 on May ______, 2014 at 10:00 in Room 723, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, why an order should not be entered, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(3)(A)(iii) and 26(c)(1)(D) and Bankruptcy Rule 9016(3)(A)(iii) and 7026(c)(1)(D) quashing the following subpoenas:

- Subpoena issued by counsel for plaintiff to HSBC Bank USA, N.A., c/o David E. Brodsky, James Corsiglia & Marla Decker, Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP, One produce documents, Liberty Plaza, NY, NY 10006 to information, or objects or to permit inspection of premises in a bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding) regarding Marjorie Most's accounts.
- b. Subpoena issued by counsel for plaintiff to Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC, c/o Beverly Jo Slaughter, Esq., Managing Counsel, Wells Fargo Law Department, One North Jefferson Avenue, MAC H0004-101, St. Louis, MO 63103 to produce documents, information, or objects or to permit inspection of premises in a bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding) regarding Marjorie Most's accounts.
- c. Subpoena issued by counsel for plaintiff to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., c/o Beverly Jo Slaughter, Esq., Managing Counsel, Wells Fargo Law Department, One North Jefferson Avenue, MAC H0004-101, St. Louis, MO 63103 to produce documents, information, or objects or to permit inspection of premises in a bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding) regarding Marjorie Most's accounts.

and issuing a protective order to the defendant, Marjorie Most, prohibiting plaintiff from inquiry into defendant's and

defendant's spouse's banking/brokerage records together with such other and further relief as is just and proper; and it is further

ORDERED that in the meantime, pending the hearing and determination of this motion, the plaintiff, plaintiff's counsel, HSBC Bank USA, N.A., Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC, and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., ARE STAYED from enforcing and/or complying with the above-described subpoenas.

LET service of a copy of this order to show cause with supporting papers be served on plaintiff's counsel of record by electronically filing said documents on this Court's CM/ECF filing system for this adversary proceeding and in the Madoff main case, 08-01789, and by overnight delivery service on HSBC Bank USA, N.A., c/o David E. Brodsky, James Corsiglia & Marla Decker, Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP, One Liberty Plaza, NY, NY 10006, Wells Farqo Advisors, LLC, c/o Beverly Jo Slaughter, Esq., Managing Counsel, Wells Fargo Law Department, One North Jefferson Avenue, MAC H0004-101, St. Louis, MO 63103; and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., c/o Beverly Jo Slaughter, Esq., Department, One Managing Counsel, Wells Fargo Law North Jefferson Avenue, MAC H0004-101, St. Louis, MO 63103, on or Such service shall be deemed good and before May , 2014. sufficient notice of this Order to Show Cause; and

08 01789-cgm Doc 6537-1 Filed 05/06/14 Entered 05/06/14 18:09:58 Exhibit [Proposed] order to show cause why subpoenas issued by plaintiff should Pg 6 of 6

ORDERED that responsive papers to this order to show cause, if any, shall be served so as to be actually received by counsel to the defendant, Stim & Warmuth, P.C., 2 Eighth Street, Farmingville, NY, and filed with the Court, and with a copy to Chambers, not later than 5:00 p.m. on or before May ______, 2014.

IT IS SO ORDERED

This ____ day of May, 2014

THE HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE